

APPENDIX H: SUMMARY OF SHORELINE MANAGEMENT CHANGES

In general, there were many changes to clarify language or enforcement rather than changes in policy from the 1976 Lakeshore Management Plan (LMP) to the 2024 Proposed SMP. Significant changes are summarized in the following Table.

1976 LMP	2024 Proposed SMP
The shoreline allocations in the LMP were previously Limited Development Areas, Public Recreation Areas, Protected Lakeshore Area, and Prohibited Access Areas.	Protected Lakeshore Areas were re-named Protected Shoreline Areas to be consistent with the name change in ER 1130-2-406, but the function remains the same. The other shoreline allocations keep the same name and similar function.
The LMP stated that the shoreline is 38 miles long at normal pool elevation of 1,162 feet above sea level. However, the LMP did not state the number of miles of each shoreline allocation, but just provided general descriptions of each location and a rough map, so a direct comparison of changed shoreline miles is not possible. However, listed below are the descriptions of each allocation area at Proctor Lake.	The SMP was updated with modern GIS, LiDAR, and other mapping technologies and states that the shoreline is 43 miles long at a normal pool elevation of 1,162 feet. However, it provided the following changes to each shoreline allocation as listed below.
<u>Limited Development Areas (LDA):</u> There are no LDAs at Proctor Lake, however, the areas with grandfathered facilities were designated as a Restricted LDA, meaning no new LDAs would be permitted. As the LMP does not include how many facilities existed at the writing of the LMP or included on the maps, it is not possible to know how many feet or miles of shoreline were given this designation.	<u>Limited Development Areas (LDA):</u> No LDAs were designated at Proctor Lake. Grandfathered facilities could exist in other shoreline allocations, and “Restricted LDA” is not an allocation allowed under ER 1130-2-406, so those areas were designated as a different shoreline allocation depending on the use described in the MP.
<u>Public Recreation Areas (PRA):</u> PRAs were only located along shorelines adjacent to developed parks.	<u>Public Recreation Areas (PRA):</u> These areas are mostly the same as the LMP with the exception of High Point Park. Since the MP classified High Point as Multiple Resource Management Lands – Future or Inactive Recreation, the shoreline was changed to a Protected Shoreline. Approximately 10.4 miles of shoreline are allocated as PRA.

1976 LMP	2024 Proposed SMP
<p><u>Protected Lakeshore Areas (PLA):</u> A majority of the shoreline was allocated as PLA, primarily those areas that were classified as Wildlife and Nature Study Areas and Aesthetic Areas of the previous MP.</p>	<p><u>Protected Shoreline Areas (PSA):</u> A majority of the shoreline remains PSA with just a change of name, located along areas classified as Multiple Resource Management Lands and Environmentally Sensitive Areas in the MP. The biggest change from the LMP was High Point Park which was reclassified in the MP and changed to PSA in the SMP. Approximately 31.3 miles of shoreline were classified as PSA.</p>
<p><u>Prohibited Access Areas (PAA):</u> The LMP designated the shoreline along the dam and structures as PLA to protect facilities and users.</p>	<p><u>Prohibited Access Areas (PAA):</u> The SMP also allocates the shoreline along the dam and structures as PSA. Approximately 1.3 miles of shoreline are allocated as PAA.</p>
<p>Although the LMP did not designate any Limited Development Areas, but instead designated the areas with existing permitted facilities as “Restricted Limited Development Areas” where those areas currently exist, the existing facilities were grandfathered to remain. However, the LMP allowed the grandfathered facility to relocate to another location through mutual agreement of the permit holder and the Lake Manager.</p>	<p>The Proposed SMP also does not designate any areas as Limited Development Areas, and continues to permit existing grandfathered facilities to remain in the current location as long as it remains compliant with the permit and SMP. The change in the SMP would not allow any grandfathered facility to relocate to any other location for any reason.</p>
<p>The LMP forbade the removal of specific species for vegetation modification permits.</p>	<p>The proposed SMP removed the list of specific species and places the approval or restrictions of species at the direction of the Lake Manager.</p>
<p>The LMP listed specific fees based on laws and policies of 1976.</p>	<p>The SMP removed specific fee amounts and stated that administrative fees exist, and the specific fees would be based on the amount allowed under law at the time of permit application.</p>
<p>The LMP briefly mentions grandfather rights based on laws of the time.</p>	<p>Since the LMP, additional laws and policies were put in place, and details from those laws and policies were included in the SMP to clarify grandfather rights and permit enforcement.</p>

1976 LMP	2024 Proposed SMP
<p>The LMP stated that personal floating facility “repairs will not be allowed if the cost will exceed 50 percent of the cost of a new structure exactly like the one being repaired.” The LMP did not identify if such a facility could be replaced.</p>	<p>The SMP used that language to define “substantial repair” when the cost of repairs will exceed 50 percent (50%) of the cost of a similar new structure. In such a case, the old facility still could not be repaired. The SMP clarified language that such a structure could be replaced with a similar structure of the same footprint and use.</p>
<p>Some details for pedestrian paths exist in the LMP and prescribed that a path could only be three (3) feet in width.</p>	<p>The SMP provided additional guidelines and clarified language from the LMP, but increased the width of pedestrian paths to (4) feet, since many mowers and uses are wider than three feet. The SMP also stated that neighbors may be required to share paths to reduce impact on the environment. The SMP also clarified existing policy that neighboring landowners are not allowed to place any structures along the paths on government property.</p>
<p>The LMP included a section on stairs, elevators, and trolleys to access personal floating facilities.</p>	<p>Since Proctor Lake has such few personal floating facilities; all are located in areas with relatively gently slope; and all are easily accessible without stairs, elevators, trolleys, or similar instruments; no stairs, elevators, or trolleys will be permitted, and the section removed from the SMP.</p>
<p>Section F of the LMP provides some details on electricity provided to permitted facilities.</p>	<p>Section 6.2.1 and Appendix E of the SMP provide additional details for electricity provided to permitted facilities to comply with best management practices and safety requirements. The biggest change is that facilities without existing electricity lines will be limited to just solar and battery options, and will not be issued a real estate instrument for an electrical line to the facility. Furthermore, existing facilities with an electrical line will be encouraged to replace them with solar and battery options when conducting significant maintenance of their electrical systems.</p>

1976 LMP	2024 Proposed SMP
Permit holders in violation or otherwise required to remove their personal floating facilities were given 30 days to remove the facility and return the shoreline to its preexisting condition.	Permit holders in violation or otherwise required to remove their personal floating facilities were given 60 days rather than 30 to remove the facility and return the shoreline to its preexisting condition.
The LMP provided a simple map with shoreline allocations.	The SMP provided more detailed maps of the shoreline allocations and locations of existing personal floating facilities in Appendix A.
N/A	The SMP provided the current Application for Shoreline Use Permit in Appendix B
N/A	The SMP provided the Shoreline Use Permit Conditions from ER 1130-2-406 Appendix C as Appendix C of the SMP.
N/A	The SMP provided a Private Dock Inspection Checklist in Appendix D of the SMP.
The LMP provided detailed facility standards in Appendix F.	The SMP provided Maintenance and Construction Standards for Personal Floating Facilities in Appendix E of the SMP. Many of these details have changed to meet new USACE policy, safety standards, and general best management practices.
N/A	The SMP provided Standard Dock Plans in Appendix F of the SMP.